



STEPHEN ALBUM RARE COINS

◆ SPECIALISTS IN ISLAMIC, INDIAN, & ORIENTAL COINS ◆

SERVING COLLECTORS SINCE 1976

AUCTION 21 JANUARY 15-16, 2015

Errata

LOT #	CORRECTIONS / ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / WITHDRAWN LOTS
17	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – The tetradrachm is from Aradus, like Duyrat 550. The Greek copper is from Marathus, near Aradus. The remaining five coppers are all Roman, Berytus, Commodus/Poseidon, Tyre, Gallienus/Nike, Tyre, Philip I/Cadmus, Heliopolis(Baalbek), Gallienus/Caduceus, Heliopolis, Philip II.
42	CORRECTION – The mint is KA (Karzin)
47	CORRECTION – The ruler is Yazdigerd III (632-651), Not Listed in Göbl, mint probably ART (Ardashir Khurra)
55	CORRECTION – The year is now interpreted as 12 rather than 33. <u>For more information see:</u> Stefan Heidemann, Josef Riederer und Dieter Weber. A Hoard from the Time of Yazdgard III in Kirman. In: Iran 52 (2014), pp. 79-123.
121	CORRECTION – The year is AH96.
341	CORRECTION – In the printed catalog the photo shown is actually the photo of Lot 346.
342	CORRECTION – In the printed catalog the photo shown is actually the photo of Lot 341.
346	CORRECTION – In the printed catalog the photo shown is actually the photo of Lot 342.
514	CORRECTION – The year is AH397.
570	NEW ATTRIBUTION – AFSHARID: Nadir Shah, Viceroy, 1729-1735, AR abbasi (5.23g), Herat, A-2736.1var, mint & date in obverse center, with unread couplet around (mostly off flan), Shi'ite kalima & the 12 Imams on the reverse, unique, VF, RRRR, <i>ex M.H. Mirza Collection</i> . This is the first reported specimen of this type for Herat. A similar type is known for Mashhad dated AH1143, which shows most of the couplet, and we have now confirmed that the couple around is indeed the same as that of the Mashhad 1143, which is intern the same as the normal couplet on all of all the viceroy coins of Nadir Shah. The key difference is the central position of the mint & date, rather than at the bottom of the obverse field, and this would propose that the Mashhad 1143 and this Herat 1144 pieces were issued to commemorate Nadir's appointment as vice-regent in those cities.
817	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – Some opinions are that the piece could have been produced during the Ming Dynasty, perhaps around Wan Li period as the metal, calligraphy and casting method do appear more from this period. Please see the discussion at http://www.zeno.ru/showphoto.php?photo=148431
891	NEW ATTRIBUTION – JIN: Da Ding, 1178-1189, AE cash (3.37g), H-18.56, with protrusions from corners of reverse hole, bronze mother coin for iron cash (<i>tie mu</i>), Fine to VF, RRR, <i>ex Zhao Quanzhi Collection</i> .

Errata Last Updated: January 2, 2015

If you are aware of any errors that are not on this list, please contact us.

1142	CORRECTION – The mint is Bang / Catalog number is KM-A82.1 / Published by Liddle as type S-39, but he attributed the mint to Katak. The AH 1003 version is incorrectly listed by KM as Katak type KM-82.4 / Thanks to Jan Lingen for the correct attribution.
1144	CORRECTION – The month is Ardibihisht
1298	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – Work by Gurprit Gujral, so be published shortly, has revealed that the word on the top of the reverse is "sobha", which means "magnificent", an extremely rare issue minted at Amritsar, known dated VS1841 and 1843, and not until very recently recognized as a significant separate type.
1307	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – Due to the unusual background symbols and calligraphy, we believe that this piece is likely a contemporary imitation, or some sort of unofficial issue or emergency issue during troubled times.
1346 1347 1348	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – These tokens were used by Duncan, Stratton & Co. to test their minting machinery and to distribute samples that would demonstrate the quality of their minting machinery.
1362	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – These coins come in plenty and were struck over a prolonged period. The mark within the 'seen' of Julius differs from the usual 'Surat mint-mark'. It is therefore presumed that these coins were imitated at Bhaunagar. See Jan Lingen' article in JONS no. 200 "Bhaunagar, also a mint for gold and silver? P.52-54.
1372	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – This is actually not a French issue, but believed to be an issue of the Nawab of Arcot struck at Tiruvamur. It was published by Jan Lingen in the Seaby Coin & Medal Bulletin, January 1980.
1654	CORRECTION – The grade is MS-66
1704	CORRECTION – The date is 1947-SA
1925	WITHDRAWN
1950	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – In our opinion, the King's Norton Mint did not produce true proof coins, but specimen coins that were struck in a very similar fashion to specimen strikes of the Heaton Birmingham Mint. Both grading companies, PCGS and NGC often give the specimen strikes a proof designation for both mints which is not technically correct in our opinion. Specimen strikes however, for both mints, range from scarce to extremely rare.
2062	CORRECTION – The grading company is NGC

Errata Last Updated: January 2, 2015

If you are aware of any errors that are not on this list, please contact us.